

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,889	02/04/2004	Mikko Rinne	037145-0701	3881
30542	7590 02/06/2008		EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP P.O. BOX 80278			BALAOING, ARIEL A	
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92138-0278		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/771,889	RINNE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ariel·Balaoing	2617			
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication appoly	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to repair any reply reconstruction.	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, be evived by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠ Resp	oonsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No	ovember 2007.				
2a)⊠ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of	Claims					
4a) C 5)⊠ Clair 6)⊠ Clair 7)□ Clair	n(s) 1-13 and 15-29 is/are pending in the appropriate for the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn(s) 1-13,15-25,27 and 28 is/are allowed. n(s) 26 and 29 is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Page	apers					
10)⊠ The c Appli Repla	specification is objected to by the Examinel frawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are cant may not request that any objection to the cacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctionath or declaration is objected to by the Expansion	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See don is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Re	eferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Dr 3) Information	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) //Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

10/771,889 Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/15/2007 with respect to Claims 26, 29 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the applicant's arguments that "Cheng et al. provides no teaching or discussion of wideband and narrowband channel frequencies in even a general sense nowhere in Cheng et al. is there any discussion of whether one or both of the frequency channel allocations comprise wideband or narrowband frequencies" (see page 2, last paragraph of the remarks); the examiner respectfully disagrees. Cheng discloses as an example, a hand down procedure from a CDMA system to an AMPS system. While the terms "narrowband" and "wideband" are not expressly used, it is known in the art that a CDMA system operates using wideband signals and AMPS systems operate using narrowband signals. Therefore, as shown in the example disclosed in col. 2, lines 1-19, shows the use of wideband frequencies when the mobile station is located in a CDMA cell and the use of narrowband frequencies when approaching a border region of an AMPS cell. Furthermore, MCGOVERN is used in combination with CHENG. MCGOVERN shows the use of both wideband and narrowband frequencies and therefore when viewed with the teachings of CHANG's hand down procedure, would disclose the use of wideband as a first frequency and narrowband as a second frequency.

2. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., if the second set of frequencies were narrowband frequencies, the mobile station

10/771,889

Art Unit: 2617

would operate in a narrowband frequency even when the mobile station is at the center of the second cell) are not recited in rejected **claims 26, 29**. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

3. Applicant's arguments, see page 4, last paragraph of the remarks, filed 11/15/2007, with respect to the 102/103 rejections of claims 1-13, 14-25 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 rejections of claims 1-13, 14-25 has been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
 Claims 1-13, 15-25, 27, and 28 are allowed.

Regarding independent Claims 1, 13, and 20, the use of both wideband and narrowband frequencies using a dual or multi mode phone is conventional in the art, however, the prior art of record does not disclose the exclusive use of narrowband frequencies when a mobile device is within a cell boundary region and the exclusive use of wideband frequencies when a mobile device is not in located within a cell boundary region. CHENG shows the use of a hand down from a wideband to a narrowband frequency when approaching a narrowband cell that is used to lessen dropped calls when approaching a cell boundary, however, CHENG does not show a switch back to wideband frequencies when out of the boundary area.

5. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MCGOVERN et al (US 2002/0142777 A1) in view of CHENG et al (US 6,771,963 B1).

Application/Control Number:

10/771,889 Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 26, MCGOVERN discloses a method for decreasing required radio spectrum in a communication system using variable bandwidth, the method comprising: dividing radio frequencies of the communication system into a wideband channel radio frequency and narrowband channel radio frequencies (paragraph 11, 12, 21, 27, 28); and communicating user data using both the wideband channel radio frequency and the narrowband channel radio frequencies (paragraph 11, 12, 21, 27, 28). However, MCGOVERN does not expressly disclose wherein, when a device involved in the communication approaches a cell border, the device is handed to a narrowband channel radio frequency from the wideband channel frequency for communicating user data. CHENG discloses wherein, when a device involved in the communication approaches a cell border, the device is handed to a narrowband [AMPS] channel radio frequency from the wideband channel frequency [CDMA] for communicating user data (col. 2, line 1-20). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify MCGOVERN to include the indirect handover procedure taught by CHENG, since CHENG states that such a modification would lessen dropped calls when approaching a cell boundary (i.e. range of serving base station).

6. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MCGOVERN et al (US 2002/0142777 A1) in view of CHENG et al (US 6,771,963 B1), and further in view of HALL (US 5,299,228).

Regarding claim 29, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent. However, the combination of MCGOVERN and CHENG

Application/Control Number:

10/771,889 Art Unit: 2617

does not expressly disclose wherein the device is further handed to a narrowband channel radio frequency for communicating user data when the device is in an idle mode. HALL discloses wherein the device is further handed to a narrowband channel radio frequency for communicating user data when the device is in an idle mode (abstract; col. 2, line 14-36). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of MCGOVERN and CHENG to use narrowband frequencies when a device is in idle mode, as taught by HALL, as HALL states that such a modification would minimize the power consumption of the mobile device (col. 2, line 28-36).

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ariel Balaoing whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number:

10/771,889 Art Unit: 2617 Page 6

7317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ariel Balaoing – Art Unit 2617

AB

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600